References / Bibliography:

(1)Bollag,(2001) Computer Supported Learning and Traditional Distance Courses ISBN NO.1599043572, June 2001

(2)CIPD (2002).Leadership and Management for H.R. Professionals Isbn No. 1136388842

(3)Black and Wiliam (1998a), Journal of Further and higher Education,Vol.33- No. -1

(4)Auckland (Hattie, 1999).Issn No. 1933-5377

(5)Royce Sadler (1989), Assessment, learning and judgment in higher education DOI:10- 1007/978-1-4020-8905-3-4

(6)Sadler (1989) ISSN No. -1740-6692 Formative Assessment and the design of Instructional System Vol. No.18,pp77-84

(7)Nicol and Macfarlane-Dick (2006) A course of language teaching : practice & theory Issn no. 2289-3245 Vol. 4 (1) Sept 13 (Internal Journal of Language Learning.

(8)Higgins et al. (2002) ISBN No. 9781841841588 Beyond Pleasure and Pain 52-1280-1300

(9)Stephens, Sargent, & Brew (2001) Journal of Educational Technology Oct. 2009 Vol. 12 No. 4 Automatic Recommendation for E- Learning Personalization based on web usage mining Technology and Information Retrieval

(10)Sims and colleagues (2006) Instructional Technology Distance Learning Issn No. 1550-6908-Vol.7-No.12 Student Centered Learning and Research

(11)Conlon (2006) International Journal of E-learning & distance Education Vol.31 no.2 2016 ISSN -1537-2456

(12)Valenti, Neri, & Cucchiarelli (2003) Journal of E-learning & Knowledge Management Home Focus on I.T. and experiential Learning : Models Methodologies Technologies, Research Issn No. 0035-0451 Vol13 No 59 2005 P.P. 325-343,

(13)Andrews and Haythornth waite (2007), Journal of International academic research – A critical outlook on E-learning Phenomenon results of a recent survey with AIBANIAN Studies Vol.3 Issue 3 April 15-147-2320-5083

(14)Harlow et al (2008) International Journal of Instructional Technology and distance Issn no. 1550-6908 Vol 10-11 To denote Learning support.

(15)Chandra and Lloyd (2008) E-Learning Author stream –learning and the assessment of their learning issn No. 2203-4714 Vole 7 No. 13 June 2016 Effectiveness of E learning for the teaching of English-A study of comparative strategies.

(16)Waiti (2005), Journal of E-Learning Knowledge Management Home ISSN No. 2073-7904 Vol. 8 No.4- 2016 Management of Technology and Innovation.

(17)Wilson (2004) ROI of training and development programmers challenges and development ISSN No. 2321-24x Vol. 12 No. 6 Aug 14 Measuring and proving the value of Learning Feb. 2004

(18) Gosavi A., Bhujbal S., " Study of an effectiveness of Innovative Teaching Methodology for stress free education at Teacher Education College," Journal Article, ISSN 2278-8808, An international peer reviewed Scholarly Research Journal For Interdisciplinary Studies

(19) Khalid A, Azeem M, "Constructivist verses Traditional Effective Instructional Approach in teacher education", International Journal of Humanities & Social Sciences, March 2012, Vol.-2, No.-5, Pg. No. 170-176.

(20) Jones, Barbara Lynn (1996), Self-Efficacy And Personal Goals In Classroom Performance: The Effect of Task Experience, A Dissertation Submitted to the Kent State University Graduate School of Management in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(21)Biner, P. et al. (1997), Relative academic performance and its relation to facet and overall satisfaction with interactive tale-courses, Distance education, Volume 18, Number 2, p.318

(22)Wu, Q.S. (2002) The Essential Concepts and Implementing Strategies of Creative Teaching, Taiwan Education Bimonthly 614: 2-8

(23)Lin, Y.M. (2002) Creative Teaching – Start from the Professional Ethics, Secondary Education Bimonthly 4:36-49

(24) Corts, Daniel P., John W. Lounsbury, Richard A. Saudargas, and Holly E . Tatum (2000), —Assessing Undergraduate Satisfaction with an Academic Department: A Method and Case Study.‖ College Student Journal, 34,(3), 399-408.

(25)Teven, Jason, J. and Mc Croskey, James C. (1997), —The Relationship of Perceived Teacher Caring with Student Learning and Teacher Evaluation.‖ Communication Education, 46, (1), 1-9.

(26)Gaziel, H. H. (1997), —Impact of School Culture on Effectiveness of Secondary Schools with Disadvantaged Students.|| Journal of Educational Research, 90, (5), 310-319.

(27)Loo, Robert.(1999) Confirmatory Factor Analyses of Kolb‘s Learning Style Inventory (LSI-1985), British Journal of Educational Psychology, 69, 213-219

(28) Wang, Q.S. (2000b) The Evaluation Criteria of Applying Information Technology in Teaching, Information and Education Bimonthly 70:36-46

(29) He, R.G. & Yan, Y.J. (2001) Applying Information Technology in Health and Physical Education, Teachers' World Bimonthly, Vol. 112, P71

(30) Jones, H. and Paolucci, R. (1999), Research framework and dimensions for evaluating the effectiveness of educational systems on learning outcomes, journal of research on Computing in Education, 32 (1), 17-27.

(31) Hoffman, R. P.(1996). Levels of technology use and instructional innovation. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, San Diego State University.

(32) Wang, X.R. (2002) A Study of Dynamic School Database Website Design, Journal of High School Education, 9, 467-508

(33) Wu, Q.S. (2002) The Essential Concepts and Implementing Strategies of Creative Teaching, Taiwan Education Bimonthly 614: 2-8

(34) Tebabal, A. & Kahssay, G. (2011), “The effects of student-centered approach in improving students’ graphical interpretation skills and conceptual understanding of kinematical motion,” Lat. Am. J. Phy. Edu, 5(2): 374-381.

(35) Adunola, O. (2011),“The Impact of Teachers’ Teaching Methods on the Academic Performance of Primary School Pupils in Ijebu-Ode Local cut Area of Ogun State,” Ego Booster Books, Ogun State, Nigeria.

(36) Ayeni, A.J. (2011), “Teachers professional development and quality assurance in Nigerian Secondary Schools,” World Journal of Education, 1(2):143-149.

(37) Chang, W. (2002), “Interactive Teaching Approach in Year One University Physics in Taiwan: Implementation and Evaluation,”Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching 3, (2002). Available on

(38) Boud, D. & Feletti, G. (1999), “The challenge of problem-based learning,” (2nd Ed.), London, Kogan Page.

(39) Zakaria, E., Chin, C.L. & Daud, Y. (2010), “The effect of cooperative learning on student mathematics achievements and attitude towards mathematics, Journal of Social Sciences, 6(2): 272-275.

(40) Greitzer, F. A. (2002), “Cognitive Approach to Student-Centered E-Learning, Human Factors and Society,” 46th Annual Meeting, Sept 30 – Oct 4.

(41) Hesson, M. & Shad, K.F. (2007), “A student-centered learning model,” American Journal of Applied Sciences, 628-636.

(42) Damodharan V. S. & Rengarajan .V (1999), “Innovative Methods of Teaching,” National Research Council, Educational Journal Publication.

(43) Hake, R. R. (1998), “Interactive engagement versus traditional methods: A six thousand student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses,” Am. J. Phys. 66, 64-74.